Organization TC 1 600 Bldg./Room UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADE MARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

RECEIVED

US PTO MAIL CENTER DEC 1 9 2008

0004244975, DEC 11 2008 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314 SANTS POST 02 1M 0004244975



207 NIXIE

00 12/17/08

NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD

BC: 22313145050

hilishillim Hallim Mahalahan hallim hall

22313@1450

NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 3359 37965-0021 10/720,992 11/25/2003 Paul Jackson 7590 12/11/2008 **EXAMINER** John P. Isacson Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe WANG, SHENGJUN 815 Connecticut Avenue, NW PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006 1617 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

12/11/2008

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,992	JACKSON ET A	.L .
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shengjun Wang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
The MAILENG DATE of and communication appears on the cover sheet war the correspondence address.			
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>04 February 2008</u>. A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on 			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).			
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.			
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.			
7. The reason(s) below:			
the Attorney on the record, John P. Isacson is no longer handling this case, message left in the law firm Heller Ehrman yields no response			
	/Shengjun Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 1617	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)